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ABSTRACT
All observations don’t have equal significance in regression analysis. Diagnostics of observations is an important aspect of model building. In this paper, we use diagnostics method to detect residuals and influential points in nonlinear regression for repeated measurement data. Cook distance and Gauss newton method have been proposed to identify the outliers in nonlinear regression analysis and parameter estimation. Most of these techniques based on graphical representations of residuals, hat matrix and case deletion measures. The results show us detection of single and multiple outliers cases in repeated measurement data. We use these techniques to explore performance of residuals and influence in nonlinear regression model.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Data containing of repeated measurements hold on each of number of individuals appear frequently in biomedical and biological implementations. This kind of modeling data generally implies characterization of the relationship among the measured response of $y$, measurement factor, or covariate $x$ [11]. In many implementations, the relationship between $y$ and $x$ is nonlinear in unknown parameters of attention.

The expression of repeated measurement on an individual requires definite care in marking the random variation in the data. It is important to recognize random variation among measurements within a given individual and random variation among the individuals. Inferential methods assist these different variance components in the framework of a proper hierarchical statistical model. When the relationship between $x$ and $y$ in the unknown parameters is linear, the framework is that of the classical linear mixed effects model [10]. In this case, Bayesian inferential method is provided satisfactory hierarchical linear model [14]. There is a substantial literature about hierarchical linear model, McCulloch, Casella, and Searle (1992). Linear modeling methods for repeated measurement data are quite advanced and developed, and well recorded in statistical literature, Crowder and Hand (1990), Lindsey(1993), and Diggle, Liang and Zenger(1994).

In this particular work, we aim to indicate residuals data points in nonlinear regression for repeated measurement data and parameter estimation. We use Cook.distacne and Gauss newton method, and we also explore some useful examples for parameter estimation and Outliers detection. The organization of this paper is given as; in section 2, we give some models and parameter estimation; section 3 deals with the diagnostics methods in case of single and multiple Outliers detection by...
2. THE MODEL AND THE PARAMETER ESTIMATION

We introduce hierarchal nonlinear model that forms the fundamental inferential methods and discuss the available techniques for the analysis of repeated measurement data. In the linear case, intra and inter individual variation can assist within the two stages model. The first stage characterizes by a nonlinear regression model with a model for individual covariance structure, and inter individual variability represent in the second stage through individual specific regression parameters.

Let \( y_{ij} \) denote the \( j \)th response, \( j = 1, \ldots, n_i \) for \( i \)th individual, \( i = 1, \ldots, m \), taken at a set of conditions sum up by the vector of covariates \( x_{ij} \), so that a sum of \( N = \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i \) response have been observed. The vector \( x_{ij} \) includes variables. Suppose that, for individual \( i \), the \( j \)th response obey the model.

\[
y_{ij} = f(x_{ij}, \beta_i) + e_{ij} \quad (1)
\]

Where \( e_{ij} \) is a random expression considering unreliability in the response, given the \( i \)th individual, with \( E(e_{ij} | \beta_i) = 0 \) Getting the response and errors for the \( i \)th individual into the \( (n_i \times 1) \) vectors \( y_i = [y_{i1}, \ldots, y_{in_i}]^T \), and \( e_i = [e_{i1}, \ldots, e_{in_i}]^T \), respectively, and interpreting the \( (n_i \times 1) \) vector.

\[
y_i = f(x_i, \beta_i) + e_i, \quad (2)
\]

where \( E(e_i | \beta_i) = 0 \).

The model given in (1) and (2) describes the organizing and random variation association with measurement on the \( i \)th individual.

If for nonlinear regression \( e_i \sim N(0, \Sigma_i) \), then \( y \) on the parameter \( \beta \) of score function \( \hat{L}(\beta) \) observation information matrix \( -\hat{L}(\beta) \) and fisher information matrix \( L(\beta) \) respectively. Computational of nonlinear least square estimates need to use the iterative numerical algorithm. \( \hat{L}(\theta) = 0 \), we may use Taylor expansion at point \( \theta_0 \)

\[
\hat{L}(\theta) = \hat{L}(\theta_0) + \hat{L}(\theta)(\theta - \theta_0) + o \| \theta - \theta_0 \| = 0
\]

\[
\theta^{i+1} = \theta^i + [\hat{L}(\theta^i)]^{-1} \hat{L}(\theta^i), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots \quad (3)
\]

Until \( |\theta^{i+1} - \theta^i| < \delta \), \( \delta \) is an advance fixed value. Gauss newton method has some important properties.
3. STATISTICAL DIAGNOSTICS FOR NONLINEAR MODELS WITH REPEATED MEASUREMENT DATA

In statistics, Cook's distance is an often used to estimate the influential points of a data [12]. Data points with huge residuals (outliers) and/or high leverage may misrepresent the outcome and accuracy of a regression.

\[ D_i = D_y(U^TU, p^\ast \sigma^2) = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_{(i)} - \hat{\beta})(U^TU)(\hat{\beta}_{(i)} - \hat{\beta})}{p^\ast \sigma^2} \]  
\[ U = \frac{\partial f(x, \beta)}{\partial \beta}, \]  
\[ \text{Cook distance gives squared distance from } \hat{\beta} \text{ to } \hat{\beta}_{(i)} \text{ relative to the fixed geometry of } U^TU. \]  
The values of \( D_i(U^TU, p^\ast \sigma^2) \) can be converted to a familiar probability scale by comparing calculated values to the \( F(p^\ast, n - p^\ast) \) distribution.

Cook distance in multiple cases:

\[ D_i = D_y(U^TU, p^\ast \sigma^2) = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_{(i)} - \hat{\beta})(U^TU)(\hat{\beta}_{(i)} - \hat{\beta})}{p^\ast \sigma^2} \]  
\[ D_i \]  
Can be expressed in multidimensional analogues of the \( r_i \) and \( v_i \). The results are obtained by first expressing \( \hat{\beta}_{(i)} \) as a function of \( \hat{\beta} \):

\[ \hat{\beta}_{(i)} = (U^TU_{(i)})^{-1}U^TV_{(i)} = (U^TU - U_{(i)}^TU_{(i)})^{-1}(X_i^TY - X_i^TY_i) \]  
\[ \text{The inverse of (6)} \]  
\[ \hat{\beta}_{(i)} = [(U^TU)^{-1} + (U^TU)^{-1}U_{(i)}^T(I - V_{(i)})^{-1}U_{(i)}(U^TU)^{-1}][U^TY - X_i^TY_i] \]  
\[ = \hat{\beta} - (U^TU)^{-1}U_{(i)}^T[-(I - V_{(i)})^{-1}X_i \hat{\beta} + (I + (I - V_{(i)})^{-1}V_{(i)})Y_i] \]  
\[ \hat{\beta}_{(i)} = \hat{\beta} - (U^TU)^{-1}U_{(i)}^T(I - V_{(i)})^{-1}e_i \]  
\[ \text{Substituting into (6) ahead to the form:} \]  
\[ D_i = \frac{e_i^T(I - V_{(i)})^{-1}V_{(i)}(I - V_{(i)})^{-1}e_i}{p^\ast \sigma^2} \]  
\[ \text{Single case Cook distance:} \]  
\[ \hat{\beta}_{(ij)} = \hat{\beta} + [I_{(ij)}(\hat{\beta})]^{-1}L_{(ij)}(\hat{\beta}) \]  
\[ \text{In this case, } I(\beta) = U^TU_{(i)}^{-1}U, \text{ and } L(\beta) = U^TU_{(i)}^{-1}Ue \]
Replacing into (4), we get the form

\[ D_{ij} = [U_j^T \Sigma_j^{-1} U_j]^{-1} U_j^T \Sigma_j^{-1} e_j \] (11)

Multiple cases Cook distance

\[ \hat{\beta}_{(i)} = \beta + [L_{(i)}(\hat{\beta})]^{-1} L_{(i)}(\hat{\beta}) \]

Substituting into (6), this form gets

\[ D_i = [U_{(i)}^T \Sigma_{(i)}^{-1} U_{(i)}]^{-1} U_{(i)}^T \Sigma_{(i)}^{-1} U_{(i)} e_{(i)} \] (12)

Example 1:

We observe the data in table I that taken from a study reported by Kwan et al. (1876) of the pharmacokinetics of indomethacin following bolus intravenous injection of the same dose in six human volunteers, for each subject plasma concentrations of indomethacin were measured at 11 times intervals regarding from 15 to 8 hours post-injection[11].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBJECTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time (hrs.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We consider two examples to calculate Gauss newton method

\[ y = \beta_1 \exp(-\beta_2 x) + \beta_3 \exp(-\beta_4 x), \quad \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_4 > 0 \] (13)

We examine Gauss Newton method

\[ \hat{\beta}^{i+1} = \hat{\beta} + [U^T \Sigma^{-1} U]^{-1} U^T \Sigma^{-1} e \]

Using MATLAB’s convention for representing Jacobin matrix \( U \) which is equal to \( U = \frac{\partial f(\beta)}{\partial \beta} \)

where \( \Sigma = ln \) a known case, and \( e = y - f(\beta) \).

We chose initial values of \( \beta, \beta_0 = [0.7, 0.6, 0.54, 0.5] \). after 5 iterations we obtained \( \hat{\beta} = [0.75, 0.65, 0.50, 0.45] \).which is satisfied under condition \( \| \beta^{i+1} - \beta^i \| < 10^{-4} \).
Example 2:

We consider another example to compute Gauss newton method. The result of estimation of the parameters in based on 11 responses for the fifth subjects are given in Table I. Using Matlab to calculate G-N method and get parameter estimations. We choose initial values,

\[ \beta_0 = [1.0000, 1.2000, -1.1000, -1.2000] \]

then use Gauss newton method to estimate the values of \( \beta \). After 5 iterations, we obtained

\[ \hat{\beta} = [1.2715, 1.0408, -1.2327, -1.5069] \]

and we satisfied under this condition

\[ \left\| \beta^{i+1} - \beta^i \right\| < 10^{-4} \]

Example 3.

We consider Table I, we focus on fifth subject to detect single case outlier. Where

\[ U = \frac{\partial f(\beta)}{\partial \beta} \]

\[ \sum = In \]

and \( e \) is unobservable error \( y - f(\beta) \).

Fig.1. Scatter plot for the table I (fifth individual) under model (11).

In the above scatterplot, we obtained cook’s distance and found outlier in a set of predicted values. First observation of our data set is an outlier which is indicated in (figure.1).
Example 4

We consider another example to detect multiple outliers’ cases.

Figure 2. Scatter plot for the table I under model (12).

We obtained cooks’ distance and found four values that fall far from other data points. So we consider these (23, 56, 45, 12) points outliers in 66 observations data. The outliers are designated in (figure 2) cook’s distance plot.

4. CONCLUSION:

It is well understood that all observations of a dataset don’t play the same role in the result of regression analysis. For example, the character of the regression line maybe determined by only a few observations, while most of the data is somewhat ignored. Such observations that highly influence the results of the analysis are called influential observations. It is important, for many causes, to be able to detect influential observations. In this paper, we established Gauss newton method for parameter estimation and as well we extended rebut version of Cook’s distance in single and multiple cases to detect outliers data points for repeated measurement data.
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