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ABSTRACT

This paper is concerned with new method to find the fuzzy optimal solution of fully fuzzy bi-level non-linear (quadratic) programming (FFBLQP) problems where all the coefficients and decision variables of both objective functions and the constraints are triangular fuzzy numbers (TFNs). A new method is based on decomposed the given problem into bi-level problem with three crisp quadratic objective functions and bounded variables constraints. In order to often a fuzzy optimal solution of the FFBLQP problems, the concept of tolerance membership function is used to develop a fuzzy max-min decision model for generating satisfactory fuzzy solution for FFBLQP problems in which the upper-level decision maker (ULDM) specifies his/her objective functions and decisions with possible tolerances which are described by membership functions of fuzzy set theory. Then, the lower-level decision maker (LLDM) uses this preference information for ULDM and solves his/her problem subject to the ULDM restrictions. Finally, the decomposed method is illustrated by numerical example.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The bi-level programming (BLP) problem is considered a useful optimization problems in which there are independent decision makers (DMs) and the feasible region of the upper-level (UL) problem is determined implicitly by the solution set of the lower-level (LL) problem. In the past few decades, the BLP problem has been covered the theoretical and computational points [1-11] and has been applied indifferent fields such as finance budget, transport network design [12], supply chain management [13], principal-agent problem [14] engineering design [15], price control and electricity markets.

In recent decades, the bi-level decision making problems became very hard to find the values of the coefficients because of imprecise information when finding these models. So, fuzzy set theory has been applied to handle imprecise data [16] where the coefficients in both objective functions and the constraints are described by fuzzy numbers.
Tanaka et al. [17] was first proposed the concept of fuzzy mathematical programming (FMP) on general level in the framework of the fuzzy decision of Bellman and Zadeh [18]. Zimmermann [19] was first proposed the formulation of fuzzy linear programming. Also, Sakawa et al. [20-23] first formulated the fuzzy bi-level programming problem and developed a fuzzy programming method to solve it. Many researchers adopted this concept for solving FLP problems. It is notice that the decision variables of all the above works are not fuzzy and the crisp solutions are ineligible to describe the fuzzy advantage of the decision making process in an uncertain environment.

In recent years, the fully fuzzy linear programming (FFLP) problems in which the coefficients as well as decision variables are described by fuzzy numbers has been an attractive topic for the researchers. Liou and Wang [24] proposed the concepts of ranking fuzzy numbers which is playing a very important role in decision making. Also, there are a number of researchers who have developed and presented new methods in this field of FFLP such as [25-32]. For the fully fuzzy non-linear programming problems, Walaa Ibrahim Gabr [33] presents a comprehensive methodology for solving and analyzing Quadratic and non-linear programming problems in fully fuzzy environment. It should be noted that all these works are considered in the case of one-single-level FFLP.

To our knowledge, until now there are few researcher studies the type of fully fuzzy bi-level linear programming (FFBLLP) problem in which all coefficients and variable of both objective functions and the constraints are expressed as fuzzy number such as [34-37].

The aim of this paper is to develop a new method to deal with the fully fuzzy bi-level quadratic programming problems by applying the concept of tolerance membership function to show that, the satisfactory solution obtained by fuzzy max-min decision model are always fuzzy optimal solution [38, 39]. A numerical example is given to illustrate the proposed method.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, some basic definitions of the fuzzy number and fuzzy arithmetic operations depending on fuzzy numbers are reviewed.

Definition 1[38]

The characteristic function $\mu_A$ of a crisp set $A \subseteq X$ assigns a value either 0 or 1 to each member in X. This function can be generalized to a function $\mu_\tilde{A}$ such that the value assigned to the element of the universal set X fall within a specified range i.e. $\mu_\tilde{A}: X \rightarrow [0,1]$. The assigned value indicate the membership grade of the element in the set A. The function $\mu_\tilde{A}$ is called the membership function and the set $A = \{(x, \mu_\tilde{A}(x)) : x \in X\}$ defined by $\mu_\tilde{A}(x)$ for each $x \in X$ is called a fuzzy set.

Definition 2[38]

A fuzzy number $\tilde{A} = (a, b, c)$ is said to be a triangular fuzzy number if its membership function is given by
Definition 3[38]
A triangular fuzzy number \((a, b, c)\) is said to be non-negative fuzzy number iff \(a \geq 0\).

Definition 4[38] (Arithmetic Operations)
Let \(\tilde{A} = (a, b, c)\) and \(\tilde{B} = (e, f, g)\) be two triangular fuzzy numbers. The algebraic operations between any two triangular fuzzy numbers \(\tilde{A}\) and \(\tilde{B}\) can be defined by:

1) \(\tilde{A} \oplus \tilde{B} = (a + e, b + f, c + g)\)
2) \(-\tilde{A} = -(a, b, c) = (-c, -b, -a)\)
3) \(\tilde{A} \ominus \tilde{B} = (a - g, b - f, c - e)\)
4) \(k \tilde{A} = \begin{cases} (ka, kb, kc), & k \geq 0, \\ (kc, kb, ka), & k < 0 \end{cases}\)
5) Let \(\tilde{A} = (a, b, c)\) be an arbitrary triangular fuzzy number and let \(\tilde{B} = (e, f, g)\) be a non-negative triangular fuzzy number, then

\[
\tilde{A} \otimes \tilde{B} = \begin{cases} (ae, bf, cg), & a \geq 0, \\ (ag, bf, cg), & a < 0, c \geq 0, \\ (ag, bf, ce), & c < 0. \end{cases}
\]

3. FORMULATION OF THE FULLY FUZZY BI-LEVEL QUADRATIC PROGRAMMING PROBLEM

Consider the following FFBLQBP problem in which all the coefficients and the decision variables are fuzzy numbers:

**FFULDM:**

\[
\max_{\tilde{x}_1} \tilde{F}_1(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) = \max_{\tilde{a}} \left( \tilde{c}_{11} \otimes \tilde{x}_1^2 \oplus \tilde{c}_{12} \otimes \tilde{x}_2^2 \right)
\]

where \(\tilde{x}_2\) solves

**FFLLDM:**

\[
\max_{\tilde{x}_2} \tilde{F}_2(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) = \max_{\tilde{c}_2} \left( \tilde{c}_{21} \otimes \tilde{x}_1^2 \oplus \tilde{c}_{22} \otimes \tilde{x}_2^2 \right)
\]

s.t.
\[
\tilde{G} = \{ \tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2 \mid \tilde{a}_{i1} \otimes \tilde{x}_1 \oplus \tilde{a}_{i2} \otimes \tilde{x}_2 \leq \tilde{b}_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \\
\tilde{x}_1 \geq 0, \tilde{x}_2 \geq 0 \} \tag{3}
\]

where \( \tilde{x}_1 = (\tilde{x}_{11}, \tilde{x}_{12}, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{1n_1}) \in R^{n_1} \) is an \( n_1 \)-dimensional fuzzy decision vector of the upper-level, and \( \tilde{x}_2 = (\tilde{x}_{21}, \tilde{x}_{22}, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{2n_2}) \in R^{n_2} \) is an \( n_2 \)-dimensional fuzzy decision vector of the lower-level.

Let \( \tilde{F}_1 : R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2} \to R^{N_1} \) be the upper-level objective functions and \( \tilde{F}_2 : R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2} \to R^{N_2} \) be the lower-level objective functions, \( N_1, N_2 \geq 2 \), elements \( \tilde{x}_{jk} \), \( j = 1, 2, k_j = 1, 2, \ldots, n_j \) of decision vectors \( \tilde{x}_j \) are non-negative fuzzy triangular fuzzy numbers; \( \tilde{c}_{k_j} = [\tilde{c}_{k_j}, \tilde{c}_{k_j}], \ldots, \tilde{c}_{k_j} \), \( L = 1, 2, j = 1, 2 \) and \( \tilde{a}_{ij} = (\tilde{a}_{ij}, \tilde{a}_{ij}, \ldots, \tilde{a}_{ij}) \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \), are \( n_j \)-dimensional fuzzy vectors; elements \( \tilde{c}_{k_j}, \tilde{a}_{ij}, s, t = 1, 2, \ldots, n_j \) and \( \tilde{b}_i \) are fuzzy numbers; \( \tilde{G} \) is the constraint region of problem (1)-(3).

### 3.1. Decomposition Method of FFBLQP problem.

In this section, a new method is proposed to find the fuzzy optimal solution of FFBLQP problem. In this way, one first gets the fuzzy satisfactory solution acceptable to FFULDM, then FFULDM gives fuzzy decision variables and fuzzy goals with some information to the FFLLDM for him/her to seek the fuzzy satisfactory solution and to arrive at the fuzzy solution which is closest to the fuzzy satisfactory solution of the FFULDM. This due to, the FFLLDM should not only optimize his/her objective functions but also try to satisfy the FFULDM’s goals and preference as much as possible [1].

Let the fuzzy parameters \( \tilde{F}_1, \tilde{x}_j, \tilde{c}_{k_j}, \tilde{a}_{ij}, \) and \( \tilde{b}_i \), \( j = 1, 2, \) \( L = 1, 2, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \) be the triangular fuzzy numbers which is represented by \((0^L,0^m,0^u)\), then problem (1) – (3) can be written as:

**FFULDM:**

\[
\max_{(x_{i1}, x_{i2}, x_{i3})} (F_1^L, F_1^m, F_1^u) = \max_{(x_{i1}, x_{i2}, x_{i3})} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} (c_{11k}, c_{11k}, c_{11k}) \otimes (x_{1k}, x_{1k}, x_{1k}) \right) \right) + \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} (c_{12k}, c_{12k}, c_{12k}) \otimes (x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k}) \right), \tag{4}
\]

where \((x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3})\) solves

**FFLLDM:**

\[
\max_{(x_{i2}, x_{i2}, x_{i2})} (F_2^L, F_2^m, F_2^u) = \max_{(x_{i2}, x_{i2}, x_{i2})} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} (c_{21k}, c_{21k}, c_{21k}) \otimes (x_{1k}, x_{1k}, x_{1k}) \right) + \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} (c_{22k}, c_{22k}, c_{22k}) \otimes (x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k}) \right), \tag{5}
\]
s.t. $G = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{m} (a_{1k}^L, a_{1k}^m, a_{1k}^u) \otimes (x_{1k}^L, x_{1k}^m, x_{1k}^u) \right\} + \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{m} (a_{2k}^L, a_{2k}^m, a_{2k}^u) \otimes (x_{2k}^L, x_{2k}^m, x_{2k}^u) \right\} \leq (b_i^L, b_i^m, b_i^u), \quad i = 1,2,\ldots,m,$

$(x_1^L, x_1^m, x_1^u) \geq (0,0,0), \quad (x_2^L, x_2^m, x_2^u) \geq (0,0,0)$ \quad (6)

Now, using the arithmetic operations (see def. 4), then problem (4)-(6) can be decomposed into three crisp bi-level quadratic programming (BLQP) problem as:

**FULDM:**

$\max_{x_{1k}} F_1^L = \text{lower value of } \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{m} (c_{1k}^L, c_{1k}^m, c_{1k}^u) \otimes (x_{1k}^L, x_{1k}^m, x_{1k}^u) \right],$ \

$\max_{x_{1k}} F_1^m = \text{middle value of } \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{m} (c_{1k}^L, c_{1k}^m, c_{1k}^u) \otimes (x_{1k}^L, x_{1k}^m, x_{1k}^u) \right],$ \

$\max_{x_{1k}} F_1^u = \text{upper value of } \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{m} (c_{1k}^L, c_{1k}^m, c_{1k}^u) \otimes (x_{1k}^L, x_{1k}^m, x_{1k}^u) \right],$ \

where $(x_2^L, x_2^m, x_2^u)$ solves $FLLDM$:

$\max_{x_{2k}} F_2^L = \text{lower value of } \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{m} (c_{2k}^L, c_{2k}^m, c_{2k}^u) \otimes (x_{2k}^L, x_{2k}^m, x_{2k}^u) \right],$ \

$\max_{x_{2k}} F_2^m = \text{middle value of } \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{m} (c_{2k}^L, c_{2k}^m, c_{2k}^u) \otimes (x_{2k}^L, x_{2k}^m, x_{2k}^u) \right],$ \

$\max_{x_{2k}} F_2^u = \text{upper value of } \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{m} (c_{2k}^L, c_{2k}^m, c_{2k}^u) \otimes (x_{2k}^L, x_{2k}^m, x_{2k}^u) \right].$
\[
\max_{x_{212}} F^u_{2} = \text{upper value of } \left[ \sum_{k_1=1}^{n_1} \left( c_L^{21k_1}, c^m_{21k_1}, c_u^{21k_1} \right) \otimes \left( x^L_{1k_1}, x^m_{1k_1}, x^u_{1k_1} \right) \right]
\]

\[
\oplus \left[ \sum_{k_2=1}^{n_2} \left( c_L^{22k_2}, c^m_{22k_2}, c_u^{22k_2} \right) \otimes \left( x^L_{2k_2}, x^m_{2k_2}, x^u_{2k_2} \right) \right]
\]

s.t.
\[
G = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{lower value of } \sum_{k_1=1}^{n_1} \left( a_L, a^m_{1i_1}, a_u_{1i_1} \right) \otimes \left( x^L_{1k_1}, x^m_{1k_1}, x^u_{1k_1} \right) \\
\oplus \sum_{k_2=1}^{n_2} \left( a_L, a^m_{2i_2}, a_u_{2i_2} \right) \otimes \left( x^L_{2k_2}, x^m_{2k_2}, x^u_{2k_2} \right) \leq b^L_i, i = 1,2,\ldots,m, \\
\text{middle value of } \sum_{k_1=1}^{n_1} \left( a_L, a^m_{1i_1}, a_u_{1i_1} \right) \otimes \left( x^L_{1k_1}, x^m_{1k_1}, x^u_{1k_1} \right) \\
\oplus \sum_{k_2=1}^{n_2} \left( a_L, a^m_{2i_2}, a_u_{2i_2} \right) \otimes \left( x^L_{2k_2}, x^m_{2k_2}, x^u_{2k_2} \right) \leq b^m_i, i = 1,2,\ldots,m, \\
\text{upper value of } \sum_{k_1=1}^{n_1} \left( a_L, a^m_{1i_1}, a_u_{1i_1} \right) \otimes \left( x^L_{1k_1}, x^m_{1k_1}, x^u_{1k_1} \right) \\
\oplus \sum_{k_2=1}^{n_2} \left( a_L, a^m_{2i_2}, a_u_{2i_2} \right) \otimes \left( x^L_{2k_2}, x^m_{2k_2}, x^u_{2k_2} \right) \leq b^u_i, i = 1,2,\ldots,m, \\
x^{m}_{jk} - x^{L}_{jk} \geq 0, \quad x^{u}_{jk} - x^{m}_{jk} \geq 0, \quad j = 1,2, k = 1,2,\ldots,m \end{array} \right\}
\]

**Definition 5 [2]**
For any \( \tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2 \in \tilde{G} \) given by FULDM, if the fuzzy decision making variable \( \tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2 \in \tilde{G} \) at the lower- level (LL) is the fuzzy optimal solution of FLLDM, then \( (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) \) is a fuzzy feasible solution of problem (1) – (3).

**Definition 6 [2]**
\( (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) \) is a fuzzy feasible solution of FFBLQP problem (1)-(3); no other fuzzy feasible solution \( (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) \in \tilde{G} \) exists, such that \( \tilde{f}_{1v}((\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2)) \leq \tilde{f}_{1v}(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) \); at least one s (s = 1, 2, …,N_i) is strict inequality, then \( (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) \) is the fuzzy optimal solution of problem (1)-(3).

### 3.2 Fuzzy Decision Models for BLQP Problem
In this section, the solution method simplifies a BLQP problem by transforming it into separate quadratic decision making (QDM) problems at upper and lower- levels as:
3.2.1 FULDM Problem

The FULDM solves the following fuzzy multi-objective decision making (FMODM) problem as:

\[
\max \tilde{F}_1(\tilde{x}) = \max \left( F^L_1(\tilde{x}), F^m_1(\tilde{x}), F^u_1(\tilde{x}) \right)
\]

s.t.
\[
\tilde{x} \in G
\]

where \( \tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2), \tilde{x} \in R^{n_1+n_2} \)

We should first find individual best fuzzy solutions \( \tilde{F}_1^+ \) and individual worst fuzzy solutions \( \tilde{F}_1^- \) for each objective of (10), where [4]:

\[
\tilde{F}_1^+ = \max_{\tilde{x} \in G} F_1(\tilde{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{F}_1^- = \min_{\tilde{x} \in G} F_1(\tilde{x})
\]

Goals and tolerances can then be reasonably set for individual best fuzzy solutions and the differences of the best and worst fuzzy solutions, respectively. This data can then be formulated as the following membership functions of fuzzy set theory [18, 19] as:

\[
\mu [\tilde{F}_1(\tilde{x})] = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } \tilde{F}_1(\tilde{x}) > \tilde{F}_1^+ \\
\frac{\tilde{F}_1(\tilde{x}) - \tilde{F}_1^-}{\tilde{F}_1^+ - \tilde{F}_1^-}, & \text{if } \tilde{F}_1^- \leq \tilde{F}_1(\tilde{x}) \leq \tilde{F}_1^+ \\
0, & \text{if } \tilde{F}_1^- \geq \tilde{F}_1(\tilde{x})
\end{cases}
\]

Also, we can find the fuzzy solution of the FULDM problem by solving the following Tchebycheff problem [18, 19] as:

\[
\max \tilde{\lambda}_i \\
\text{s.t.} \\
\tilde{x} \in G, \\
\mu [\tilde{F}_1(\tilde{x})] \geq \tilde{\lambda}_i, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_i \in [0,1].
\]

where \( \tilde{\lambda}_i = (\tilde{\lambda}^L_i, \tilde{\lambda}^m_i, \tilde{\lambda}^u_i) \) is satisfactory level and the fuzzy solution is assumed to be \( (\tilde{x}^L_i, \tilde{x}^m_i, \tilde{x}^u_i) \) for the upper-level.

3.2.2. FLLDM Problem

In the same way, the FLLDM independently solves:

\[
\max \tilde{F}_2(\tilde{x}) = \max \left( F^L_2(\tilde{x}), F^m_2(\tilde{x}), F^u_2(\tilde{x}) \right)
\]

s.t.
\[
\tilde{x} \in G,
\]

where \( \tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2), \tilde{x} \in R^{n_1+n_2} \)

The individual best fuzzy solutions \( \tilde{F}_2^+ \) and individual worst fuzzy solutions \( \tilde{F}_2^- \) for each objective of (14) are:
From this information, the membership functions can be formulated by using fuzzy theorem as:

\[
\mu \left[ \bar{F}_2(\bar{x}) \right] = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } \bar{F}_2(\bar{x}) > \bar{F}_2^+, \\
\frac{\bar{F}_2(\bar{x}) - \bar{F}_2^-}{\bar{F}_2^+ - \bar{F}_2^-}, & \text{if } \bar{F}_2^- \leq \bar{F}_2(\bar{x}) \leq \bar{F}_2^+, \\
0, & \text{if } \bar{F}_2^- \geq \bar{F}_2(\bar{x})
\end{cases}
\] (16)

Now, we can obtain the fuzzy solution of the FLLDM problem by solving the following Tchebycheff problem as [18, 19]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\max & \quad \tilde{\lambda}_2 \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad \tilde{x} \in G, \\
& \quad \mu \left[ \bar{F}_2(\bar{x}) \right] \geq \tilde{\lambda}_2, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_2 \in [0,1].
\end{align*}
\] (17)

Whose fuzzy solution is assumed to be \((\tilde{x}_1^L, \tilde{x}_2^L, \bar{F}_2^L, \tilde{\lambda}_2^L)\) for the lower-level and \(\tilde{\lambda}_2^L\) is satisfactory level.

### 3.2.3 FFBLQDM Problem

Finally, in order to generate the fuzzy satisfactory solution which is also a fuzzy optimal solution with an overall satisfaction for both DMs, we can solve the following Tchebycheff problem [4, 18, 19] as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\max & \quad \tilde{\lambda} \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad \tilde{x} \in G, \\
& \quad [(\tilde{x}_1^u + \tilde{t}_1)] - \tilde{x} / \tilde{t}_1 \geq \tilde{\lambda} I, \\
& \quad [\tilde{x}_1 - (\tilde{x}_1^u - \tilde{t}_1)] / \tilde{t}_1 \geq \tilde{\lambda} I, \\
& \quad \mu'_F(\bar{F}_1(\bar{x})) = \left[ \bar{F}_1(\bar{x}) - \bar{F}_1^+ \right] / \left[ \bar{F}_1^u - \bar{F}_1^- \right] \geq \tilde{\lambda}, \\
& \quad \mu'_F(\bar{F}_2(\bar{x})) = \left[ \bar{F}_2(\bar{x}) - \bar{F}_2^+ \right] / \left[ \bar{F}_2^l - \bar{F}_2^- \right] \geq \tilde{\lambda}, \\
& \quad \tilde{x}_1 \geq \tilde{0}, \quad \tilde{x}_2 \geq \tilde{0} \text{ and } \tilde{\lambda} \in [0,1],
\end{align*}
\] (18)

where \(\tilde{\lambda}\) is the overall satisfaction, \(I\) is the column vector with all elements equal to 1, and the same dimension as \(\tilde{x}_1\); \(\tilde{x}_1^u\) is the fuzzy optimal decision variable of the FULDM, \(\tilde{t}_1\) is maximum tolerances of the range of the decision variable \(\tilde{x}_1\) around \(\tilde{x}_1^u\) and the membership function of \(\tilde{x}_1\) can be stated as follows:
The following membership functions of the FULDM can be stated as:

\[
\mu'_{\tilde{F}_1}(\tilde{x}) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } \tilde{F}_1(\tilde{x}) > \tilde{F}_1^u \\
\frac{\tilde{F}_1(\tilde{x}) - \tilde{F}_1'}{\tilde{F}_1^u - \tilde{F}_1'}, & \text{if } \tilde{F}_1' \leq \tilde{F}_1(\tilde{x}) \leq \tilde{F}_1^u \\
0, & \text{if } \tilde{F}_1(\tilde{x}) \leq \tilde{F}_1'
\end{cases}
\]

(20)

where \( \tilde{F}_1' = \tilde{F}_1(x^L_1, x^L_2) \).

Also, the FLLDM has the following membership functions for his/her goals as:

\[
\mu'_{\tilde{F}_2}(\tilde{x}) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } \tilde{F}_2(\tilde{x}) > \tilde{F}_2^L \\
\frac{\tilde{F}_2(\tilde{x}) - \tilde{F}_2'}{\tilde{F}_2^u - \tilde{F}_2'}, & \text{if } \tilde{F}_2' \leq \tilde{F}_2(\tilde{x}) \leq \tilde{F}_2^u \\
0, & \text{if } \tilde{F}_2(\tilde{x}) \leq \tilde{F}_2'
\end{cases}
\]

(21)

where \( \tilde{F}_2' = \tilde{F}_2(x^u_1, x^u_2) \).

By solving problem (18) for three decomposition problems, if the FULDM is satisfied with this solution, then a fuzzy satisfactory solution is reached. Otherwise, he/she should provide new membership functions for the fuzzy control variable and objectives to FLLDM until a fuzzy satisfactory solution is reached.

4. A NEW ALGORITHM FOR SOLVING FFBLQP PROBLEM

The steps for the computation of FFBLQP problem can be summarized as:

Step 1: Consider the variables and parameters of FFBLQP problem as triangular fuzzy number.

Step 2: Formulate the FFBLQP problem (1)-(3).

Step 3: Transform problem (1)-(3) to problem (4)-(6).

Step 4: Use the decomposition method to convert problem (4)-(6) into three crisp BLQP problems (7)-(9) by using the arithmetic operations on fully fuzzy.

Step 5: Calculate the individual fuzzy best and worst fuzzy solutions for each objective of problem (10) and problem (14) as in (11) and (15).

Step 6: Formulate the membership function of the fuzzy ULDM and fuzzy LLDM problems as in (12) and (16).

Step 7: Find the fuzzy solution of the fuzzy BLQP problem by solving the Tchebycheff problem as in (13) and (17).
Step 8: Formulate the membership function of the fuzzy BLQP problem as in (19), (20) and (21) after defined the value of fuzzy control decision variables $(\tilde{u}_i^m)$ and the maximum tolerance $(\tilde{t}_i)$. 

Step 9: Formulate a Tchebycheff problem for BLQDM problem as in (18) to reach the fuzzy satisfactory solution. 

Step 10: If $\tilde{\lambda} > 0.5$, stop and the fuzzy compromise solution is obtained, $\tilde{\lambda}$ is the overall satisfaction for all DMs, otherwise go to step 8 after changing of the values $\tilde{t}_i$. 

5. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

To demonstrate the solution method for FFBLQP problem, let us consider the following example:

$$\max_{\tilde{F}_1} = (3,5,12) \odot \tilde{x}_1^2 \odot (2,4,10) \odot \tilde{x}_2^2,$$

where $\tilde{x}_2$ solves

$$\max_{\tilde{F}_2} = (3,5,10) \odot \tilde{x}_1^2 \odot (1,7,8) \odot \tilde{x}_2^2,$$

s.t.

$$(4,5,6) \odot \tilde{x}_1 + (2,7,4) \odot \tilde{x}_2 \leq (4,10,20),$$

$$(2,3,4) \odot \tilde{x}_1 + (1,2,5) \odot \tilde{x}_2 \leq (2,5,8),$$

$$\tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) \geq 0$$

where $\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2$ are triangular fuzzy numbers.

Assume that $\tilde{x}_1 = (x_1, y_1, z_1), \tilde{x}_2 = (x_2, y_2, z_2)$ and $\tilde{F}_j = (F_j^L, F_j^m, F_j^u)$, $j = 1,2$.

According to arithmetic operations of triangular fuzzy numbers, the FFBLQP problem (7)-(9) can be rewritten as:

**FULDM:** $\max_{\tilde{F}_1} = 3x_1^2 + 2x_2^2,$

max $F_1^m = 5y_1^2 + 4y_2^2,$

max $F_1^u = 12z_1^2 + 10z_2^2,$

where $\tilde{x}_2 = (x_2, y_2, z_2)$ solves

**FLDM:** $\max_{\tilde{F}_2} = 3x_1^2 + x_2^2,$

max $F_2^m = 5y_1^2 + 7y_2^2,$

max $F_2^u = 10z_1^2 + 8z_2^2,$

s.t.
\[G = \{ 4x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 4, \quad 2x_1 + x_2 \leq 2, \]
\[5y_1 + 7y_2 \leq 10, \quad 3y_1 + 2y_2 \leq 5, \]
\[6z_1 + 4z_2 \leq 20, \quad 4z_1 + 5z_2 \leq 8, \]
\[x_1 \geq 0, \quad x_2 \geq 0, \]
\[y_1 - x_1 \geq 0, \quad y_2 - x_2 \geq 0, \]
\[z_1 - y_1 \geq 0, \quad z_2 - y_2 \geq 0 \}\]

where \((x_1, y_1, z_1)\) and \((x_2, y_2, z_2)\) are triangular fuzzy numbers.

**First:** The FULDM solves problem (10) as follows:
\[
\max_{x_1} \bar{F}_1 = \max_{x_1} (F_1^L, F_1^m, F_1^u),
\]
s.t.
\[
\bar{x}_i \in G.
\]

1- Find individual best fuzzy solution \(\bar{x}_{1}^+\) and individual worst fuzzy solution \(\bar{x}_{1}^-\) by solving (11), we get

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Upper level</th>
<th>(\bar{x}_1 = (x_1, y_1, z_1))</th>
<th>(\bar{x}_2 = (x_2, y_2, z_2))</th>
<th>(\bar{F}<em>{1}^{+} = \max</em>{\lambda \in \Omega} (F_{1}^{L}, F_{1}^{m}, F_{1}^{u}))</th>
<th>(\bar{F}<em>{1}^{-} = \max</em>{\lambda \in \Omega} (F_{1}^{L}, F_{1}^{m}, F_{1}^{u}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Best fuzzy solution</td>
<td>((1.1, 1.667, 2))</td>
<td>((0, 0, 0))</td>
<td>((3.13, 88948))</td>
<td>((0, 0, 0))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worst fuzzy solution</td>
<td>((0, 0, 0))</td>
<td>((0, 0, 0))</td>
<td></td>
<td>(\bar{F}_{1}^{-} (0, 0, 0))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2- Use (12) to build the membership functions \(\mu_{\bar{F}_1} [\bar{F}_1 (\bar{x})]\) and solve (13) as follows:

\[
\max \lambda_1^L, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad 3x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 \geq 3\lambda_1^L, \quad 4x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 4, \quad 2x_1 + x_2 \leq 2, \quad 0 \leq \lambda_1^L \leq 1.
\]

whose solution is:
\[
\bar{x}_1^u = (0.251, 1.667, 2), \quad \bar{x}_2^u = (1.253, 0, 0), \quad \bar{F}_1^u = (3.329, 13, 894, 48)
\]
\[
\bar{x}_1 = (1,1,1) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{F}_1^L = (4.501, 8,168, 26.499)
\]

**Second:** In the same way, the FLLDM solves problem (14) as follows:

1- Find individual best fuzzy solutions \(\bar{F}_{2}^{+}\) and individual worst fuzzy solutions \(\bar{F}_{2}^{-}\) by solving (15), we get
By using (16), build the membership functions $\tilde{F}_2 = \mu_{\tilde{F}_2} (\tilde{x})$ and solve (17) we get:

$$\tilde{x}_1 = (0.119, 0.1, 1.44), \quad \tilde{x}_2 = (1.493, 1.429, 0.402), \quad \tilde{x}_3 = (1, 1, 1),$$

$$F_2^L = (2.272, 14.294, 22.029), \quad F_2^U = (1.759, 13.894, 40)$$

**Third:** In order to generate the fuzzy satisfactory solution,

1. Assume the FULDM's fuzzy control decision $\tilde{x}_1$ is around $(0.251, 1.667, 2)$ with the tolerance $= 0.2$.
2. By (19), (20) and (21) and from membership functions, $\mu'(\tilde{x}_1), \mu'_{\tilde{F}_1}(\tilde{x}), \mu'_{\tilde{F}_2}(\tilde{x})$, the FLLDM solves the following Tchebycheff problem (18) as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{max } & \lambda^L, \\
\text{s.t. } & x_1 + 0.2\lambda^L \leq 0.451, \\
& x_1 - 0.2\lambda^L \geq 0.051, \\
& 3x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 + 1.172\lambda^L \geq 4.501, \\
& 3x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 0.513\lambda^L \geq 1.759, \\
& 0 \leq \lambda^L \leq 1. \\
\text{max } & \lambda^m, \\
\text{s.t. } & y_1 + 0.2\lambda^m \leq 1.867, \\
& y_1 - 0.2\lambda^m \geq 1.467, \\
& 5y_1^2 + 4y_2^2 - 5.726\lambda^m \geq 8.168, \\
& 5y_1^2 + 7y_2^2 - 0.4\lambda^m \geq 13.894, \\
& 0 \leq \lambda^m \leq 1. \\
\text{max } & \lambda^u, \\
\text{s.t. } & z_1 + 0.2\lambda^u \leq 2.2, \\
& z_1 - 0.2\lambda^u \geq 1.8, \\
& 12z_1^2 + 10z_2^2 - 21.501\lambda^u \geq 26.499, \\
& 10z_1^2 + 8z_2^2 + 17.971\lambda^u \geq 40, \\
& 0 \leq \lambda^u \leq 1.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, the compromise fuzzy solutions are

$$\tilde{x}_1 = (0.251, 1.667, 2), \quad \tilde{x}_2 = (1.261, 2.471, 2.807),$$

$$F_1 = (3.369, 38.318, 126.792), \quad F_2 = (1.779, 56.635, 463.034),$$

and $\tilde{\lambda} = (\lambda^L, \lambda^m, \lambda^u) = (1, 1, 1)$ (overall satisfaction for both DMs).

### 6. Conclusion

In this paper, a fully fuzzy bi-level quadratic programming problem in which all the coefficients and decision variables are fuzzy numbers is introduced. In order to obtain a fuzzy optimal solution of the FFBLQP problem, the concepts of tolerance membership functions at each level to develop a fuzzy max-min decision model for generating fuzzy satisfactory solution for FFBLQP problem. Then the fully fuzzy bi-level quadratic programming can be converted into a deterministic bi-level programming problem by using the bound and decomposition method. Also, a new algorithm is based on the fuzzy decision approach, bound and decomposition method.
are introduced to obtain the fuzzy satisfactory solution for FFBLQP problem. Finally, an illustrative numerical example has been given to clarify the proposed solution method.
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